

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

9

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,206	01/20/2006	Valerie Dupouy	284648US0PCT	9519
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
•			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/565,206				
		Examiner	DUPOUY, VALERIE			
			Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Alexander Thomas  ears on the cover sheet with the co	1794			
Period fo	or Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status	·					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Oc	ctober 2007.				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-21 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-16 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-11 and 17-21 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date 5/11/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 10/26/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner has not considered the content of the claims in view of the disclosure in the specification. This is not found persuasive because applicant has not provided any evidence to support this allegation.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 8-10 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims contain drawings. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rasmussen et al 5,776,580. The reference discloses a sandwich structure in Figure 4D comprising a core 18 with two facing layers 19, 20 wherein the mineral fibers in the core are crimped, i.e. folded, so as to have a V-shaped profile and wherein the tips of the V's are aligned. The facing layers may be metal (see column 2, lines 64-66) and the core may be formed from a plurality of juxtaposed pieces that extend along the length of the facings.

10/565,206 Art Unit: 1794

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5-7 and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rasmussen et al 5,776,580. The reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed; see the above rejection. However, it does not disclose the claimed properties of the sandwich. It has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adjust the density of the fibers in the product of the reference in order to provide particular compressive and sheer strengths for a particular end use.
- Rasmussen et al 5,776,580 in view of applicant's acknowledged state of the art. The reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed; see the above rejection under 35 USC 102. However, it does not disclose the claimed composition of the glass used to make the fibers. Applicant discloses that these particular compositions were well-known in the art at the time of the invention; see page 7, lines 3-10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use any specific glass composition to form the glass fibers of the product in the reference to provide desired properties for a

particular end use in the absence of unexpected results attributable to the fiber composition.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alexander Thomas/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794